

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/477,991		01/05/2000	BRYCE A. JONES	1264	1039
28004	7590	01/28/2005		EXAMINER	
SPRINT	NIT DADES	1/ A 3/	BURGESS, BARBARA N		
6391 SPRINT PARKWAY KSOPHT0101-Z2100				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
OVERLAN	ND PARK,	KS 66251-2100		2157	
				DATE MAILED: 01/28/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/477,991	JONES, BRYCE A.						
-	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Barbara N Burgess	2157						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 22 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]								
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF)	•							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:								
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d)  they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: se		sidered but does No	OT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .								
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .								
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,5-15,18-28,31-49,52-69,72-89,92-108,111-123,126-138 and 141-145.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3-4, 16-17, 29-30, 50-51, 70-71, 90-91, 109-110, 124-125, 139-140.								
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
10. Other:								

Application/Control Number: 09/477,991 Page 2

Art Unit: 2157

## Response to Arguments

## The Office notes the following arguments:

(a) Goss does not disclose a cookie or digital certificate to identify a web call center resource, determine whether any web call center resource is available, or identify a web service application.

1. Applicant's argument has been fully considered but is not persuasive.

## In response to:

(a) Goss explicitly discloses the Server maintaining a session with the customer's browser using cookies. This session includes the Web Server sending an HTML file that represents the site's homepage. Embedded in the file are applets that manage the call-back services and sessions with agents. Therefore, when the customer requests contact with an agent, the Server uses the information from the cookies (information from the session between the customer's browser and the Server are stored in the cookies) to direct the request to a qualified agent. Goss states "the Web Server maintains a session with the customer browser over the Internet using cookies or other session maintenance technology. This way, when the customer submits a call-back request, the Web Server can identify that customer for the purpose of matching the call-back request to a qualified agent (column 12, lines 54-59)."

Goss, without a doubt, discloses using a cookie or digital certificate to identify a web call center resource, that resource being an agent (column 5, lines 65-67, column 6,

Application/Control Number: 09/477,991

Art Unit: 2157

lines 1-11, 27-33, 45-51, 61-65, column 7, lines 1-10, column 12, lines 31-37, 43-49, 55-59, column 13, lines 7-10, 31-36). Particularly, the claim states "wherein identifying the web call center resource is based on <u>information stored in a cookie</u> or based upon information stored in a digital certificate". Examples of the information stored in the cookie are the customer's user id, password, name, call-back telephone number, identifier, corporate business that the customer represent, etc. This **information** stored in the cookie is used to pass the request to the appropriate agent (column 6, lines 1-10, 19-40, 45-50).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Barbara N Burgess whose telephone number is (571) 272-3996. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00am-4:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571) 272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2157

Barbara N Burgess Examiner Art Unit 2157

January 24, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
FECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100